UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/579,638	03/13/2007	Antonius Petrus Gerardus Welbers	348162-982810	7619
94518 DLA PIPER LI	7590 06/04/201 LP (US)	EXAMINER		
2000 UNIVERS	SITY AVENUE	SIM, YONG H		
EAST PALO ALTO, CA 94303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/04/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 18 May 2006 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some of Definition of the priority documents have been received.  2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Yong SIM   Ze29   Ze	Office Action Comment	10/579,638	WELBERS ET AL.				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address = Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Betweenser of time may be available under the provisione of 3° CFR 11891, in the owent, however, may a may be the previous of 3° CFR 11891, in the owent, however, may a may be the previous of 3° CFR 11891, in the owent, however, may a may be the previous of 3° CFR 11891, in the owent, however, may a may be the previous of 3° CFR 11891, in the owent however, may a may be the previous of 3° CFR 11891, in the owent however, may a may be the previous of 3° CFR 11891, in the owent however, may a may be the previous of 3° CFR 11891, in the owent however, may a may be the previous of 3° CFR 11891, in the owent however, may a may be the previous of 3° CFR 11891, and a possible of 4° 1891, and 1892, an	Oπice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Examination of time rays be available under the photodenical 37 CFR 1.35(a), in an event, however, may a resty be timely filled.  - Examination of time rays be available under the photodenical 37 CFR 1.35(a), in an event, however, may a resty be timely filled.  - If No period for reply is specified above, he maxima will authors princed will apply and will expire \$X\$ (0) (MOTHS from the mailing date of this communication, resent if timely filled.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by author, cause the application to become AUAHOUNED (35 U.S. 5, § 133), so an event plant term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.74(b).  - Status  1)  Responsive to communication(s) filled on		_					
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Elements of the may be waited under the provised of the communication.  Failure for grey which the set or calended period for spy. 4th by shallow, each each agriculture. The subcern RAINFORTH CRU SUBJECT (18 U.S.C. § 133)  Any rophy rocered by the Critical born than three months after the mailing date of this communication. Part of the communication of the communicat							
1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a   This action is FINAL. 2b  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 18 May 2006 is/are: a)  accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b	<ul> <li>WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any</li> </ul>						
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4  Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6  Claim(s) 1-9 is/are objected to. 8  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10  The drawing(s) filed on 18 May 2006 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status						
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4  Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6  Claim(s) 1-9 is/are objected to. 8  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10  The drawing(s) filed on 18 May 2006 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.    Attachment(s)   Interview Summary (PTO-413)   Paper Not(s) Malla Date	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
3							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)	·—	· —					
Al) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are ejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 18 May 2006 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Oraying Review (PTO-948)  3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application	•						
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are elected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 18 May 2006 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Choose of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informal Patent Application	diosed in adderdance with the practice direct Ex parte Quayle, 1000 C.B. 11, 400 C.S. 210.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 18	Disposition of Claims						
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 18	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.					
6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 18 May 2006 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a), Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of Profresperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 18 May 2006 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Altachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of Partsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 18 May 2006 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No,  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S8/08)	·						
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 18 May 2006 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 18 May 2006 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 18 May 2006 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Application Papers						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 18 May 2006 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some collappear of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)							
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)							
12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  1 Notice of Informal Patent Application							
a)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  9 Notice of Informal Patent Application	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Attachment(s)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
5) A monitorior Discussion (5) (1.15/52/55)							
		· <del></del>	αιστι Αμμιισαιιστί				

Application/Control Number: 10/579,638 Page 2

Art Unit: 2629

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1 – 7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lambert (US 6,816,143 B1).

Regarding claim 1, Lambert teaches circuit arrangement for driving a display arrangement the circuit arrangement includes column driving means (11 "a block of column drivers" Fig. 2) for driving n column electrodes and row driving means for driving

Art Unit: 2629

m row electrodes of the display arrangement (abstract: "A matrix display panel having a plurality of columns/electrodes, comprising a plurality of circuits each being adapted for selectively accessing one of said columns." A matrix display inherently comprises m row electrodes and row driving means.), wherein the column driving means (11 "a block of column drivers" Fig. 2) comprises n output channels (12 "column driver circuit/channel" Fig. 2) each output channel having a column electrode assigned (See fig. 2 for the assignment arrangement.) and is arranged for providing a respective column voltage to the assigned column electrode (See Fig. 2, each column driver circuit 12 provides output/column voltage to the assigned column electrodes 33.), an additional output channel (10 "spare column driver" Fig. 2) is arranged for providing respective column voltages, whereas each of the n column electrodes is connectable to the additional output channel (Pg. 10, lines 3-5; "The output of the spare column driver circuit is used to drive the column 22 corresponding to the column driver circuit 23 under test." See Fig. 2. Each of the columns is connectable to the spare column driver circuit. Pg. 10, line 12; "the output of the column driver is an analog medium voltage.").

Regarding claim 2, Lambert teaches circuit arrangement as claimed in claim 1, wherein the n output channels having switching means (19, 20 "multiplexers/switching means" Fig. 2) each of the n switching means is provided between an output channel and its associated column electrode for connecting the column electrode with the additional output channel (See fig. 2 for the connection arrangement of the switching means.).

Page 4

Regarding claim 3, Lambert teaches circuit arrangement as claimed in claim 2, wherein the switching means are provided for disconnecting the output channel from its column electrode if the column electrode is connected to the additional output channel (Pg. 10, lines 1 – 5; "During the test of a column, the multiplexers/switching means are controlled so that the output of the column drive circuit under test is multiplexed onto test line... The output of the spare column driver circuit is used to drive the column corresponding to the column drive circuit under test.").

Regarding claim 4, Lambert teaches circuit arrangement as claimed in claim 1, wherein at the beginning of driving a first row electrode of a frame the additional output channel is calibrated (Pg. 3, lines 5-7; "the output of the normal column drivers are systematically compared with the output of a spare column driver, to detect flawed normal column drivers, for example during a power on self test or continuously during operation." The continuous comparison/test includes the beginning of driving a first row electrode of a frame. Pg. 10, lines 3-5; "The output of the spare column driver circuit is used to drive the column corresponding to the column drive circuit under test." The spare column driver circuit is calibrated to output the corresponding output signal of the column drive circuit under test.), whereas during driving the following row electrodes the additional output channel is successively connected via the respective switching means to the column electrodes (Pg. 10, lines 25-26; "This process continues serially/successively until all column driver circuits are tested, and may repeat

Art Unit: 2629

continuously..." The output channel is successively connected via respective multiplexer/switching mean to the column electrodes during driving of each row electrodes.), whereas the associated output channel of the column electrode currently connected to the additional output channel is disconnected from the respective column electrode for calibrating (Pg. 10, lines 1 – 3; "During the test of a column, the multiplexers are controlled so that the output of the column drive circuit under test is multiplexed onto test line." See Fig. 2. The tested column driver 23 is disconnected from the column electrode 28 and is instead connected to the comparator 25.).

Regarding claim 5, Lambert teaches circuit arrangement as claimed in claim 1, wherein the column driving means comprises more then one additional output channel which are connectable to the column electrodes (Pg. 3, lines 21 – 23; in essence, the first and second techniques may be expanded to an increased number of spare column drivers per block.").

Regarding claim 6, Lambert teaches circuit arrangement as claimed in claim 1, wherein calibration means are arranged for offset cancellation of the output channels connected to the calibration means (Pg. 10, lines 20 – 23; "If the comparator/calibration means determines that the column driver circuit under test is defective, the scan operation ceases, and the column driver circuit under test is persistently functionally replaced with the spare column driver circuit." If the output voltage of the driver circuit compared with the output of the spare column driver is offset, meaning different, the

Application/Control Number: 10/579,638

Art Unit: 2629

driver circuit ceases scanning/cancellation. Thus, the comparator/calibration means performs offset cancellation of the output channels.).

Page 6

Regarding claim 7, Lambert teaches display device comprising a display arrangement and a display driver circuit arrangement the display driver circuit arrangement comprises column driving means (11 "a block of column drivers" Fig. 2) for driving the n column electrodes with column voltages and row driving means for driving the m row electrodes with row selection voltages (abstract: "A matrix display panel having a plurality of columns/electrodes, comprising a plurality of circuits each being adapted for selectively accessing one of said columns." A matrix display inherently comprises m row electrodes and row driving means.), wherein the column driving means (11 "a block of column drivers" Fig. 2) comprises n output channels (12 "column driver circuit/channel" Fig. 2) each output channel having a column electrode assigned (See fig. 2 for the assignment arrangement.) and is arranged for providing a respective column voltage to the assigned column electrode (Pg. 10, line 12; "the output of the column driver is an analog medium voltage." See Fig. 2, each column driver circuit 12 provides output/column voltage to the assigned column electrodes 33.), an additional output channel (10 "spare column driver" Fig. 2) is arranged for providing a column voltage, whereas each of the n column electrodes is connectable to the additional output channel (Pg. 10, lines 3-5; "The output/column voltage of the spare column driver circuit is used to drive the column 22 corresponding to the column driver

circuit 23 under test." See Fig. 2. Each of the columns is connectable to the spare column driver circuit.).

Regarding claim 9, Lambert teaches method for driving a display arrangement whereas the display arrangement comprises n column electrodes and m row electrodes, the n column electrodes are driven by column driving means and the row electrodes are driven by row driving means (abstract: "A matrix display panel having a plurality of columns/electrodes, comprising a plurality of circuits each being adapted for selectively accessing one of said columns." A matrix display inherently comprises m row electrodes and row driving means.) wherein the column driving means(11 "a block of column drivers" Fig. 2) comprises n output channels (12 "column driver circuit/channel" Fig. 2) each providing a respective column voltage to its associated column electrode (Pg. 10, line 12; "the output of the column driver is an analog medium voltage." See Fig. 2, each column driver circuit 12 provides output/column voltage to the assigned column electrodes 33.) wherein an additional output channel is arranged (Pg. 10, lines 3 - 5; "The output of the spare column driver circuit is used to drive the column 22 corresponding to the column driver circuit 23 under test." See Fig. 2. Each of the columns is connectable to the spare column driver circuit.), which is calibrated at the beginning of a driving procedure of a frame (Pg. 3, lines 5-7; "the output of the normal column drivers are systematically compared with the output of a spare column driver, to detect flawed normal column drivers, for example during a power on self test or continuously during operation." The continuous comparison/test includes the beginning

Application/Control Number: 10/579,638

Page 8

Art Unit: 2629

of driving a first row electrode of a frame. Pg. 10, lines 3-5; "The output of the spare column driver circuit is used to drive the column corresponding to the column drive circuit under test." The spare column driver circuit is calibrated to output the corresponding output signal of the column drive circuit under test.), wherein after the additional output channel is calibrated, one of the n output channels is disconnected from its associated column electrode (Pg. 10, lines 1-3; "During the test of a column, the multiplexers are controlled so that the output of the column drive circuit under test is multiplexed onto test line." See Fig. 2. The column drive circuit under test 23 is disconnected from the column electrode 28 and is instead connected to the comparator 25.), wherein this column electrode is connected to the calibrated additional output channel (See Fig. 2. The spare column driver circuit is connected to the column electrode 28 of the column drive circuit under test 23.), the calibrated additional output channel supplies the respective column voltage to the column electrode (Pg. 10, lines 3 - 5; "The output of the spare column driver circuit is used to drive the column corresponding to the column drive circuit under test." The spare column driver circuit is calibrated to output the corresponding output signal of the column drive circuit under test.) whereas the disconnected output channel is calibrated (Pg. 10, lines 1 - 3; "During the test of a column, the multiplexers are controlled so that the output of the column drive circuit under test is multiplexed onto test line.").

Application/Control Number: 10/579,638 Page 9

Art Unit: 2629

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lambert in view of Webb (US 6,600,467 B1).

Regarding claim 8, Lambert teaches display device as claimed in claim 7.

But Lambert does not expressly disclose wherein the display arrangement comprises a liquid crystal material between a first substrate provided with row electrodes and a second substrate provided with column electrodes in which overlapping parts of the row and column electrodes define pixels.

However, in the same field of endeavor, Webb teaches an LCD display wherein the display comprises a liquid crystal material between a first substrate provided with row electrodes and a second substrate provided with column electrodes in which

Art Unit: 2629

overlapping parts of the row and column electrodes define pixels (Webb: See Fig. 2B. Col. lines 36 - 48.).

Therefore, taking the combined teachings of Lambert and Webb, as a whole, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the idea of having an LCD display comprising a liquid crystal material between a first substrate provided with row electrodes and a second substrate provided with column electrodes in which overlapping parts of the row and column electrodes define pixels as taught by Webb into the display device as taught by Lambert to obtain a display device comprising a liquid crystal material between a first substrate provided with row electrodes and a second substrate provided with column electrodes in which overlapping parts of the row and column electrodes define pixels to allow manufacturing a high resolution display.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yong SIM whose telephone number is (571)270-1189. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (Alternate Fridays off) 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amr Awad can be reached on (571) 272-7764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/579,638 Page 11

Art Unit: 2629

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/YONG SIM/ Examiner, Art Unit 2629 5/23/2010